Electoral Sociology: Who votes for the Extreme Right – and when?

Kai Arzheimer

University of Mainz, Germany/University of Essex, UK

Overview

```
Theory
Data
Findings
Who?
Why?
When and where?
Discussion
```

What is the "Extreme Right"?

- ► Terminology: endless controversy
 - Shopping lists of spatial, ideological and other criteria
 - Radical/Far/Populist/New/Xenophobic Right . . .
- "We know who they are ..." (Mudde 1995)
- Features
 - Less than well integrated
 - (Very) right on most issues, immigration as core issue
- The Usual Suspects: Front National, Vlaams Blok/Belang, Republicans . . .
- Plus Borderline Cases: AN, SVP . . .
- ► Immigration from outside Western Europe as main (or only) issue

- Personality Traits and Value Orientations
- 2. Social Disintegration

- Personality Traits and Value Orientations
- 2. Social Disintegration
- Group Conflicts (scapegoating

 rational choice) → relative deprivation

Problems

- "Overlap"
- Cross-national and cross-temporal variation

- Personality Traits and Value Orientations
- 2. Social Disintegration
- Group Conflicts (scapegoating

 rational choice) → relative deprivation
- 4. Contextual Explanations

Problems

- "Overlap"
- Cross-national and cross-temporal variation

- Personality Traits and Value Orientations
- 2. Social Disintegration
- Group Conflicts (scapegoating

 rational choice) → relative deprivation
- 4. Contextual Explanations

Contextual Explanations?

- Party Features
- ▶ Political Opportunities, public opinion
- Other Contextual Factors

Problems

- "Overlap"
- Cross-national and cross-temporal variation

- Personality Traits and Value Orientations
- 2. Social Disintegration
- Group Conflicts (scapegoating

 rational choice) → relative deprivation
- 4. Contextual Explanations

Problems

- "Overlap"
- Cross-national and cross-temporal variation

Contextual Explanations?

- Party Features
- Political Opportunities, public opinion
- Other Contextual Factors
- ▶ latent support \(\infty\) manifest support



Which comparative data are available?

- 1. Cross-sections of micro-data
 - European Election Studies (2009: not yet)
 - ► European Social Survey (2002/2003)
 - ▶ Sweet but short, 10,000-15,000 respondents per wave

Which comparative data are available?

- 1. Cross-sections of micro-data
 - European Election Studies (2009: not yet)
 - ► European Social Survey (2002/2003)
 - ▶ Sweet but short, 10,000-15,000 respondents per wave
- 2. Longitudinal micro-data Data
 - Eurobarometer
 - ▶ 1980s-2000s
 - Contextual analyses across time and countries
 - $ightharpoonup \approx 250,000 \text{ respondents}$

Which comparative data are available?

- 1. Cross-sections of micro-data
 - European Election Studies (2009: not yet)
 - European Social Survey (2002/2003)
 - ▶ Sweet but short, 10,000-15,000 respondents per wave
- 2. Longitudinal micro-data Data
 - Eurobarometer
 - ▶ 1980s-2000s
 - Contextual analyses across time and countries
 - ➤ ≈ 250,000 respondents
- 3. Contextual information: OECD, UNHCR, party manifestos, election statistics . . .

Sociodemographics: gender

- ▶ Men roughly 40% more likely to vote for the ER (not in Italy in recent elections)
- Even if we control for other factors (class, education, attitudes)
- More likely to admit? But: German "Representative Electoral Statistics"

Sociodemographics: education

- Citizens with University education least likely to vote for ER
 - ► Liberal values?
 - ► (Economic) interests?
 - ► Social desirability?
- Much, much more support in lower (but not necessarily lowest) educational strata
- ► Fear of competition worse than actual competition? evidence is weak

Sociodemographics: class and age

- Members of the working/lower middle class much more likely to support the Extreme Right
- ➤ Young (30-45, < 30) voters more likely to support the Extreme Right
- Disproportional support from the unemployed
- Not affiliated with established parties

Sociodemographics: summary

- Non-traditional working class parties
- ► "Typical" voter: white, male, youngish, low (but not lowest) level of qualification

Ideology and values

- Materialism vs. Postmaterialism
- Dissatisfaction with European Integration
- Dissatisfaction with the way democracy works in one's own country

Ideology and values

- Materialism vs. Postmaterialism
- Dissatisfaction with European Integration
- Dissatisfaction with the way democracy works in one's own country
- ► Left-Right-Self-Evaluation

Immigration and xenophobia

- ► Cross-sections: 2002/2003 + 1990s
- High levels of xenophobia and perceived competition
- ► Focus on Non-Western European immigrants
- Non-religious concern about Islam

Which contextual factors?

- ▶ Why variation within/between countries?
- ▶ Theory \rightarrow factors

Which contextual factors?

- Why variation within/between countries?
- ► Theory → factors
- Immigration (asylum seekers)
- Unemployment rates and benefits
- Salience (and variation) of Extreme Right issues in other parties' manifestos
- (Media)

Findings

- ► Immigration → Extreme Right support ceteris paribus)
- ► Higher levels of benefits → Extreme Right support (if immigration is low) – welfare chauvinism?
- ► Unemployment rate → Extreme Right support (if immigration or benefits levels are very low)
- At high levels of immigration, benefits curb effect of unemployment

Findings

- ► Immigration → Extreme Right support ceteris paribus)
- ► Higher levels of benefits → Extreme Right support (if immigration is low) – welfare chauvinism?
- ► Unemployment rate → Extreme Right support (if immigration or benefits levels are very low)
- At high levels of immigration, benefits curb effect of unemployment
- Salience (regardless of direction) has clear effect on Extreme Right support

Findings

- ► Immigration → Extreme Right support ceteris paribus)
- ► Higher levels of benefits → Extreme Right support (if immigration is low) – welfare chauvinism?
- ► Unemployment rate → Extreme Right support (if immigration or benefits levels are very low)
- At high levels of immigration, benefits curb effect of unemployment
- Salience (regardless of direction) has clear effect on Extreme Right support
- ▶ Contextual factors can "tip the balance" in $\approx \frac{1}{3}$ of all cases

What have we learned so far?

- Clear socio-demographic profile: young, male, working/lower middle class
- Clear attitudinal profile:
 - ▶ Not necessarily fully paid-up extremists
 - But dissatisfied with politics and suspicious of immigrants and elites
- ▶ Little support for disintegration thesis
- Personality traits?
- ▶ In line with theories of values, preferences, group conflict
- Contextual factors often make a difference

But . . .

- Very strong country effects remain after controlling for context
- Limits on contextual control variables
- More/better information on parties
- Comparative media studies

Discussion

Thank you!